

Ethical obligations of editors

1. All published materials are carefully reviewed. The Editorial Board can reject or request modifications to the manuscript. The author is obliged to modify the manuscript according to remarks of reviewers or the Editorial Board.

2. The editor should ensure that each manuscript submitted for publication is reviewed without regard to race, religion, nationality, and position or affiliation of the author (authors). The editor may, however, take into account the relationship under consideration at the moment of the manuscript with other previously submitted work by the same authors.

3. The editor should review manuscripts submitted for publication as quickly as possible.

4. The editor is responsible for the acceptance or rejection of the manuscript. Responsible and balanced approach to the implementation of these responsibilities usually means that the editor takes into account the recommendation of the reviewer, who is the doctor of sciences of the corresponding scientific field of quality and authenticity of the manuscript submitted for publication. However, manuscripts may be rejected without review if the editor considers that they do not correspond to the journal profile.

5. The editor and editorial review board should not provide to others any information related to the content of the manuscript under consideration, except for those involved in professional assessment of the manuscript. After a positive decision of the editor a manuscript is published in the journal and posted to relevant electronic resources.

6. While it may be distributed via electronic networks of any journal articles or extract of them, the extension of this link to the source is obligatory. It is prohibited to publish and/or distribute the materials to the third parties or organizations in print and electronic media.

7. In accordance with international law regarding copyright on electronic information resources, website materials, e-journal or project may not be reproduced in full or in part, in any form (electronic or printed) without the prior written consent of the authors and the editorial office of the journal. Using published materials in the context of other documents, the link to the original source is necessary.

8. The editor should respect the intellectual independence of the authors.

9. Responsibilities and rights of the editor of any manuscript authored by an editor should be delegated to another qualified person.

10. Unpublished information, arguments, or interpretations disclosed in a submitted manuscript can be used by the editor in their own investigations only under consent of the author. If the manuscript is so closely related to current or past research of an editor, there may be a conflict of

interests. Thus, the editor should ensure that any other qualified person has assumed the editorial responsibility for this manuscript.

11. If the editor is presented compelling evidence that the main content or conclusions of the work, published in the journal, are erroneous, the editor should alert, the publication indicating the error and, if possible, correcting it. This message can be written by the person who discovered the error or by the independent author.

12. The author may wish that the editor does not use certain reviewers in review of a manuscript. The editor may decide to use one or more of these reviewers, if he feels that their opinions are important in the fair review of a manuscript. Such a decision can be made, for example, in the case when there are serious contradictions between this manuscript and the previous work of a potential reviewer.